IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4279 OF 2006

Jasvindersingh M. Abrol ..Petitioner

Vs.

The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Mumbai & Anr. ..Respondents

Mrs.Veena B. Thadani with Mr. Vishal Thadani for petitioner.
Mr. A.H. Palekar, AGP for State.

CORAM: B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.

Date : July 19, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Mrs. Thadani the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Palekar the learned AGP for the State.

2. Perused the R & P submitted by the learned AGP. By the order dated 29/6/2004 the Deputy Commissioner of Police (Head Quarter-1), Police Commissionerate at Mumbai recorded a finding that the petitioner had violated Rules 7(a), 8(1) and 8(2) of the Bombay Public Entertainment Rules 1953 and directed the petitioner to close his establishment for

10 consecutive days.

3. Having heard the learned for the petitioner and the learned AGP and on perusal of the concurrent findings recorded by both the authorities below, there is no need to interfere with the findings holding the petitioner guilty of violating the above mentioned Rules. However, the order of penalty requires modifications and for the reasons stated in the order passed in Writ Petition No.4257 of 2006 today.

4. Hence, while confirming the concurrent findings recorded by both the authorities below, it is directed that the petitioner shall close his establishment temporarily from 24/7/2006 to 28/7/2006 by way of penalty for the violations as recorded by the Deputy Police Commissioner in his order dated 29/6/2004.

5. The petition is disposed off in terms of the above order.

(B.H. Marlapalle,J.)